



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

**PATENT**  
Docket No.: 015270J-002120US  
Client Ref. No.: 00131-US-DIV1

On OCTOBER 27, 2005

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Yvonne Mock  
Yvonne Mock

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

Peter A. Seubert et al.

Application No.: 08/466,554

Filed: June 6, 1995

For: METHODS FOR AIDING IN THE  
DIAGNOSIS OF ALZHEIMER'S  
DISEASE BY MEASURING  
AMYLOID-BETA PEPTIDE (x-2=41)  
AND TAU

Examiner: Patricia Ann Duffy

Art Unit: 1645

**PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING  
OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R.  
1.181(a)**

Mail Stop: Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Abandonment mailed March 1, 2005,  
Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the holding of abandonment on the ground  
that an appropriate response was timely filed.

Transmitted below are copies of documents supporting the fact that the  
response to the Office action in question was indeed filed before the deadline:

- 1) Copy of Transmittal Form -PTO-SB/21-mailed on  
February 16, 2005;
- 2) Copy of Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a)  
mailed on February 16, 2005 and requesting a three-month extension of time;
- 3) Copy of Amendment mailed on February 16, 2005 in response to  
the Office Action mailed August 16, 2004;

- 4) Copy of return receipt postcard mailed February 16, 2005 (we do not have the actual returned postcard);
- 5) Patent Record Sheet indicating that the due date for the response to the Office Action was docketed as November 16, 2004, the original due date without extension, and that the response was docketed as completed on February 16, 2005;
- 6) Declaration of Rosemarie L. Celli; and
- 7) Declaration of Joe Liebeschuetz.

#### DISCUSSION

The application is abandoned due to alleged failure to respond to an office action dated August 16, 2004. The attached documents provide evidence a response with an appropriate certificate of mailing and three-month extension of time was in fact filed on February 16, 2005; that is, on the last day of the statutory period.

This petition is being filed more than two months from the date of receipt of the notice of abandonment. However, the attorney responsible for the case when the notice of abandonment was received, Rosemarie L. Celli has attested in the attached declaration that any delay in responding to the notice of abandonment was unintentional. The undersigned also attests that any delay in responding was unintentional on his part as well (see attached declaration).

#### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the holding of abandonment and that the aforementioned documents be entered. No fee is believed to be due, however, if any fee is required, please charge deposit account no. 10-1430.

Peter A. Seubert et al.  
Application No.: 08/466,554  
Page 3

PATENT

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at (650) 326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,



Joe Liebeschuetz  
Reg. No. 37,505

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: (650) 326-2400  
Fax: (650) 326-2422  
JOL:ym  
60620152 v1